

Full-length article

Involvement of GABA and opioid peptide receptors in sevoflurane-induced antinociception in rat spinal cordYing-wei WANG¹, Xiao-ming DENG², Xin-min YOU¹, Shu-xiao LIU², Zhi-qi ZHAO³

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Xinhua Hospital, Shanghai Second Medical University, Shanghai 200092, China; ²Department of Anesthesiology, Changhai Hospital, Second Military Medical University, Shanghai 200433, China; ³Institute of Neurobiology, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

Key words

sevoflurane; spinal cord; pain measurement; GABA receptors; opioid receptors

¹ Correspondence to Dr Ying-wei WANG.
Phn 86-21-6579-0000.
Fax 86-21-5522-7368.
E-mail wangyingwei@yahoo.com

Received 2005-03-17
Accepted 2005-06-13

doi: 10.1111/j.1745-7254.2005.00175.x

Abstract

Aim: The spinal cord is pivotal in immobility induced by volatile anesthetics because the anesthetics depress the activity of motor neurons in the spinal cord. The aim of this study was to observe the effects of sevoflurane on pain processing at the spinal level. **Methods:** The firing of the gastrocnemius muscle was evoked by electrical stimulation to the ipsilateral hindpaw in rats. The nociceptive C response of electromyography (EMG) was selected to study. The GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (0.1 mg/kg) and opioid receptor antagonist naloxone (0.4 mg/kg) were administered intravenously, either in the presence or in the absence of 1.0% sevoflurane. **Results:** In rats with transected spinal cord, sevoflurane produced a profound reduction in the C response in a dose- and time-dependent manner. In the presence of 1.0% sevoflurane, the C responses were increased after injections of bicuculline and naloxone. **Conclusion:** Sevoflurane is a volatile anesthetic that acts directly on the spinal cord to suppress the nociceptive reflex. The sevoflurane-induced suppression of the C response is antagonized by either bicuculline or naloxone. The results suggest that spinal GABA_A receptors and opioid peptide receptors are involved in the sevoflurane-induced suppression of spinal nociception.

Introduction

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and opioid peptides are the major inhibitory transmitters in the central nervous system, and both transmitter systems are likely to play important roles in anesthetic mechanisms. For example, a common property of a wide spectrum of general anesthetics, including barbiturates and propofol, is enhancement of the action of GABA_A receptors^[1-4].

Increasing evidence have indicated that the spinal cord is pivotal in the immobility induced by volatile anesthetics because the anesthetics depress the activity of α -motoneurons in the spinal cord^[5,6], in which process spinal GABA receptors and opioid peptide receptors may be involved^[7-10]. However, there has been few study on the effects of sevoflurane on pain processing in the spinal cord. Given the

high density of GABA receptors and opioid peptide receptors located in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, in the present study we examine whether the suppression of nociceptive effects by sevoflurane is mediated by actions at GABA_A and opioid receptors in the spinal cord.

Materials and methods

Animals and surgical preparation This study was approved by animal experimentation committee of Xinhua hospital. The experiments were performed on 37 male Sprague-Dawley rats weighing 215–345 g (provided by the Animal Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences). Animals were divided into 5 groups according to the different tests. Group I ($n=6$) animals were used to test the effects of sevoflurane after transection of the spinal cord. Anesthesia for surgical preparation was induced by 2.5% sevoflurane in

95% O₂–5% N₂ and maintained by 0.8%–1.5% sevoflurane through a tightly fitting mask. Group II (*n*=11) animals were used to test the time course of sevoflurane in the spinally transected rats; Group III (*n*=9), group IV (*n*=6) and group V (*n*=5) animals were used to test the effect of bicuculline (GABA_A receptor antagonist), phaclofen (GABA_B receptor antagonist) and naloxone (opioid receptor antagonist), respectively. Animals in groups II–V were anesthetized with urethane (1.0 g/kg, ip). The trachea, left internal jugular vein and carotid artery were cannulated for artificial ventilation, drug administration and blood pressure monitoring, respectively.

Following laminectomy, the spinal cord was transected at T₉–T₁₀ with 2.0% lidocaine surface anesthesia. The experiments were started at least 2 h after transection. During experiments, core body temperature was maintained at 37–39 °C by a warm blanket and a heat lamp. In order to maintain effective circulation, 0.5–1 mL 5% glucose was injected into the internal jugular vein every 1–2 h.

Electrophysiological recording Electromyographic measurements were taken in the gastrocnemius muscles. Two stainless steel needles were separately inserted into the two toes of the ipsilateral hindpaw for electrical stimulation. The intensity of electrical stimulation (1 ms, 50 V, 3 pulses, 1 Hz) was sufficient to excite the C-fiber nociceptors. Two components of the electromyographic response, the A- and C-responses, were evoked by the electrical stimulation. The C response was an indication of nociceptive reflex. An averaged C response was analyzed by a signal processing system (SMUP-PC, Fudan University).

Drug administration In group I, sevoflurane was delivered at concentrations of 0.8%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0%, and 2.5%. Electromyographic measurements were taken 25 min after inhalation of sevoflurane. In group II, 1.0% sevoflurane was given, and electromyographic measurements were taken every 3 min. In groups III, IV, and V, as a control, bicuculline (0.1 mg/kg), phaclofen (0.6 mg/kg) or naloxone (0.4 mg/kg) were first intravenously administered without inhalation of sevoflurane. Two hours later, bicuculline, phaclofen, or naloxone at the same doses was administered, respectively, 15 min after inhalation of 1.0% sevoflurane.

Data analysis The effects of drugs, dose dependence, and time course were analyzed by using the paired *t*-test. Differences between groups were determined to be statistically significant if *P*<0.05. All data are shown as mean±SD.

Results

Consistent with our previous study^[11], the strong cur-

rent-induced electromyographic responses from the gastrocnemius muscle consisted of two components: first, a primary myelinated afferent fiber-mediated A response with a latency of 5–10 ms, and second, the unmyelinated fiber-mediated C response with latency of >100 ms. Given that primary afferent C fibers conduct nociceptive information, this study focused on the change in C responses.

Effect of sevoflurane on the spinal cord To examine whether inhalation of sevoflurane affects the spinal cord neurons, the spinal cord was transected at the T_{9–10} segment. Following inhalation of sevoflurane at concentrations of 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0%, and 2.5%, C responses were reduced to 36.1%±17.4%, 11.7%±8.6%, 2.2%±5.2% and 0% of control (*P*<0.01), respectively (Figure 1). The inhibition occurred in a time-dependent manner. When 1.0% sevoflurane was inhaled, C responses were 60.0%±18.1%, 50.4%±13.5%, 42.0%±14.5%, 33.2%±13.5%, and 31.5%±13.8% at 1 min, 4 min, 7 min, 10 min, and 13 min after inhalation, respectively, compared with the group without sevoflurane (Figure 2). C responses recovered almost completely within 9 min of ceasing inhalation.




Figure 1. Inhalation of sevoflurane dose-dependently reduces the C response in rats with transected spinal cords. Before inhalation of sevoflurane, the baseline area of the C response is considered to be 100%. *n*=6. Mean±SD. °*P*<0.01 vs baseline.

Reversal of sevoflurane-induced inhibition by bicuculline and naloxone As shown in Figure 3, bicuculline (0.1 mg/kg, iv) failed to alter the C response in the absence of sevoflurane. Following 20 min of inhalation of 1.0% sevoflurane, bicuculline at the same dose significantly increased the C responses at the time points of 1 min, 4 min, 7 min, 10 min, and 13 min (*P*<0.05). However, phaclofen (0.6 mg/kg) failed to alter the C response in the absence or presence of sevoflurane.

Although the C responses appeared to be slightly




Figure 2. Time course of 1.0% sevoflurane-induced depression of C responses in rats with transected spinal cords. Before inhalation of sevoflurane, the baseline area of the C response is considered to be 100%. ↑ indicates the time point at which inhalation of sevoflurane was terminated. *n*=11. Mean±SD. ^c*P*<0.01 vs baseline.

enhanced by naloxone alone (0.4 mg/kg, iv) in the absence of sevoflurane, this effect was not statistically different from the control (Figure 4). After the rats inhaled 1% sevoflurane, naloxone significantly increased their C responses at time points of 1 min, 4 min, 7 min, 10 min, 13 min, and 16 min (*P*< 0.05).




Figure 3. Effects of bicuculline on C responses evoked in spinally transected rats in the presence or absence of 1.0% sevoflurane. SS indicates the steady-state area of the C response before administration of bicuculline (0.1 mg/kg, iv). Before SS, the baseline area of the C response is considered to be 100%. All rats were given bicuculline at the steady-state time point. *n*=9. Mean±SD. ^b*P*<0.05, ^c*P*<0.01 vs steady-state.

Discussion

Several studies have shown that the mechanisms underlying the suppression of noxious stimuli-induced motor reactions by volatile anesthetics, including sevoflurane, are




Figure 4. Effect of naloxone on the C response evoked in spinally transected rats in the presence or absence of 1.0% sevoflurane. SS indicates the steady-state area of the C response before the administration of naloxone (0.4 mg/kg, iv). Before SS, the baseline area of the C response is considered to be 100%. All rats were given bicuculline at the steady-state time point. *n*=5. Mean±SD. ^b*P*<0.05, ^c*P*<0.01 vs steady-state.

likely to be spinal in nature rather than involving supraspinal structures^[5,6,12]. Apart from immobility mediated by reducing the activity of spinal α -motoneurons, studies on the effects of sevoflurane on nociceptive sensory neurons in the spinal cord are generally lacking. The C-fiber-induced response recorded in the peripheral nerve or muscle is commonly used to characterize spinal processing and is considered to be an acute pain model^[11]. By means of this model, the present work for the first time shows that inhalation of sevoflurane produces a dose-dependent reduction of primary sensory afferent C-fiber-mediated nociceptive responses in the rat after transection of the spinal cord at T₉₋₁₀. This indicates that sevoflurane not only suppresses excitation in α -motor neurons but also inhibits the activity of pain-sensitive neurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, in the absence of supraspinal input.

It is well-known that GABA receptors exist in the spinal cord and, when activated, cause decreased excitability of the neurons in which they exist^[13]. A recent report showed that the intrathecal administration of GABA_A or glycine receptor antagonists increased the MAC (minimum alveolus concentration) value of isoflurane by approximately 40% in rats^[14]. Yamauchi *et al* also observed an approximately 50% reversal of halothane depression^[15]. Similarly, in the present study, the sevoflurane-induced inhibition of nociceptive C responses was reversed by the intravenous administration of 0.1 mg/kg bicuculline, a GABA_A receptor antagonist. This

reversal effect by bicuculline seems unlikely to be involved in a release of a GABA-mediated tonic inhibition, given that 0.1 mg/kg bicuculline in the absence of sevoflurane did not increase nociceptive C responses significantly. Previous studies have revealed that the sevoflurane-induced inhibition of field potentials evoked from CA1 of the hippocampus is partially antagonized by phaclofen, a competitive antagonist of the GABA_B receptor^[16]. However, the antinociceptive effect of halothane was not affected by the intrathecal administration of GABA_B receptor antagonist CGP 35348^[7]. Similarly, our preliminary results show that intravenous administration of 0.6 mg/kg phaclofen failed to affect nociceptive C responses (data not shown). Taken together, these results suggest that the antinociceptive effect of sevoflurane might be mainly mediated by GABA_A receptors in the spinal cord rather than GABA_B receptors.

Opioid receptors are densely localized presynaptically and postsynaptically on pain-sensitive neurons in the spinal cord, which play a critical role in spinal antinociception. It has been reported that intravenous or intrathecal morphine is able to reduce the minimum alveolar concentration of halothane^[8-10]. In the present study, the fact that naloxone blocked the sevoflurane-induced inhibition of nociceptive C responses provides further support for the involvement of spinal opioid receptors in antinociception mediated by sevoflurane.

In conclusion, the volatile anesthetic sevoflurane can directly depress spinal transmission of nociceptive information in the spinal cord, by a mechanism that may involve GABA receptors and opioid receptors.

Acknowledgement

We thank Christopher J LINGLE (Washington University, Saint Louis, Missouri, USA) for helpful discussions and editing this paper.

References

- 1 Jones MU, Brooks PA, Harrison NL. Enhancement of gamma-aminobutyric acid-activated Cl⁻ currents in cultured rat hippocampal neurons by three volatile anaesthetics. *J Physiol (Lond)* 1992; 449: 279-93.
- 2 Krasowski MD, Harrison NL. General anaesthetic actions on ligand-gated ion channels. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 1999; 55: 1278-303.
- 3 Franks NP, Lieb WR. Which molecular targets are most relevant to general anaesthesia? *Toxicol Lett* 1998; 100-101: 1-8.
- 4 Bernd A. Different actions of general anaesthetics on the firing patterns of neocortical neurons mediated by the GABA_A receptor. *Anaesthesiology* 1999; 91: 500-11.
- 5 Rampil IJ, King BS. Volatile anaesthetics depress spinal motor neurons. *Anaesthesiology* 1996; 85: 129-34.
- 6 Kammer T, Rehberg B, Menne D, Wartenberg HC, Wenningmann I, Urban BW. Propofol and sevoflurane in subanaesthetic concentrations act preferentially on the spinal cord. *Anaesthesiology* 2002; 97: 1416-25.
- 7 Mason P, Owens CA, Hammond DL. Antagonism of the antinociceptive action of halothane by intrathecal administration of GABA_A receptor antagonists. *Anaesthesiology* 1996; 84: 1205-14.
- 8 Schwieger IM, Klopfenstein CE, Forster A. Epidural morphine reduces halothane MAC in humans. *Can J Anaesth* 1992; 39: 911-4.
- 9 Drasner K, Bernards CM, Ozanne GM. Intrathecal morphine reduces the minimum alveolar concentration of halothane in humans. *Anaesthesiology* 1988; 69: 310-2.
- 10 Criado AB, Gomez de Segura IA, Tendillo FJ, Marsico F. Reduction of isoflurane MAC with buprenorphine and morphine in rats. *Lab Anim* 2000; 34: 252-9.
- 11 Bai L, Zhao ZQ. Ketamine-induced peripheral analgesia in rats. *Acta Pharmacol Sin* 1997; 18: 377-9.
- 12 Antognini JF, Carstens E, Buzin V. Isoflurane depresses motoneuron excitability by a direct spinal action: an F-wave study. *Anaesth Analg* 1999; 88: 681-5.
- 13 Sivilotti L, Nistri A. GABA receptor mechanisms in the central nervous system. *Prog Neurobiol* 1991; 36: 35-92.
- 14 Zhang Y, Wu S, Eger I II, Sonner JM. Neither GABA_A nor strychnine-sensitive glycine receptors are the sole mediators of MAC for isoflurane. *Anaesth Analg* 2001; 92: 123-7.
- 15 Yamauchi M, Sekiyama H, Shimada SG, Collins JG. Halothane suppression of spinal sensory neuronal responses to noxious peripheral stimuli is mediated, in part, by both GABA_A and glycine receptor systems. *Anesthesiology* 2002; 97: 412-7.
- 16 Hirota K, Roth SH. Sevoflurane modulates both GABA_A and GABA_B receptors in area CA1 of rat hippocampus. *Br J Anaesth* 1997; 78: 60-5.